



Supply Base Report: RL Skovservice v/René Løvborg

Choose audit type here

www.sbp-cert.org



The promise of good biomass



Completed in accordance with the Supply Base Report Template Version 1.3

For further information on the SBP Framework and to view the full set of documentation see www.sbp-cert.org

Document history

Version 1.0: published 26 March 2015

Version 1.1 published 22 February 2016

Version 1.2 published 23 June 2016

Version 1.3 published 14 January 2019; re-published 3 April 2020

© Copyright Sustainable Biomass Program Limited 2020

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Description of the Supply Base	2
2.1	General description	2
2.2	Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier	4
2.3	Final harvest sampling programme	4
2.4	Flow diagram of feedstock inputs showing feedstock type [optional]	4
2.5	Quantification of the Supply Base.....	5
3	Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation	7
4	Supply Base Evaluation	7
4.1	Scope.....	8
4.2	Justification.....	8
4.3	Results of Risk Assessment.....	8
4.4	Results of Supplier Verification Programme	8
4.5	Conclusion.....	9
5	Supply Base Evaluation Process	10
6	Stakeholder Consultation	11
6.1	Response to stakeholder comments	11
7	Overview of Initial Assessment of Risk	12
8	Supplier Verification Programme	14
8.1	Description of the Supplier Verification Programme	14
8.2	Site visits.....	14
8.3	Conclusions from the Supplier Verification Programme	14
9	Mitigation Measures	14
9.1	Mitigation measures	15
9.2	Monitoring and outcomes.....	15
10	Detailed Findings for Indicators	17
11	Review of Report	18
11.1	Peer review.....	18
11.2	Public or additional reviews	18
12	Approval of Report	19
13	Updates	20
13.1	Significant changes in the Supply Base	20
13.2	Effectiveness of previous mitigation measures	20
13.3	New risk ratings and mitigation measures	20

13.4 Actual figures for feedstock over the previous 12 months21

13.5 Projected figures for feedstock over the next 12 months21

1 Overview

On the first page include the following information:

Producer name: RL Skovservice v/René Løvborg

Producer location: Sepstrupvej 26, 8653 Them, Denmark

Geographic position: 56.094501, 9.482306

Primary contact: Rene Løvborg, M: +45 20 13 84 43, rene@rlskovservice.dk

Company website: www.rlskovservice.dk

Date report finalised: 6/12/2016

Close of last CB audit:

Name of CB:

Translations from English: Available

SBP Standard(s) used: Standard 1, version 1.0
Standard 2, version 1.0
Standard 1, version 1.0
Standard 2, version 1.0

Weblink to Standard(s) used: <https://sbp-cert.org/documents/standards-documents/standards>

SBP Endorsed Regional Risk Assessment: RRA Denmark

Weblink to SBE on Company website: <https://www.rlskovservice.dk/da-DK/Certificering.aspx>

Indicate how the current evaluation fits within the cycle of Supply Base Evaluations				
Main (Initial) Evaluation	First Surveillance	Second Surveillance	Third Surveillance	Fourth Surveillance
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	X	<input type="checkbox"/>

2 Description of the Supply Base

2.1 General description

General description of Danish forests and forestry

Forests cover approx. 620,000 ha in Denmark, corresponding to approx. 14.4% of the country's total area. This area is expected to increase over time. Total standing timber in Danish forests is 130 million m³.

Standing timber in the forests has been increasing rapidly from the 2000 statement until today. This is a result of the steadily increasing forest area and probably an increase in standing timber per hectare.

Generally, Danish forests include a wide variety of wood species of which the most common species are: Norway spruce 15%, beech 14% and oak 10%. The numbers for the other wood species are: pine 11%, silver spruce 6%, Nordmann fir 5%, noble fir 2%, other fir species 10%, Sycamore maple 4%, birch 7%, ash 3% and other broadleaves 9%. In addition to this, unstocked areas are 4%. Broadleaves make up 47 per cent of the total wooded area whereas conifers make up 49 per cent. The rest is unstocked areas and areas where a particular wood species could not be determined. None of the wood species belong to the CITES or IUCN species.

Approx. 2000 species are listed on the Danish Red List, and many of these species are related to forests, old forests in particular. Areas in which one or more red list species have been identified are often registered as Natura 2000 areas, protected by the Danish Forest Act and/or the Danish Nature Protection Act.

The estimated total number of forest estates in Denmark is 24,000. 89% of the total number of forest estates has a size between 0.5 and 20 ha.

Most of the forest area is privately owned, either by individuals (59%) or by companies (10%) and foundations (6%). The Danish state forests make up 19% of the total forest area, while the area owned by municipalities and public institutions is 6%. This means that the Danish forest structure includes many private owners with forest areas of less than 20 ha.

Atypically, Danish forestry legislation has no requirements as to how each estate plans forestry, nor does the forest owners have to apply for or report cutting in their forests.

Danish forest owners are well-organised in various local and national associations. Dansk Skovforening (Danish Forest Association) is the trade organisation of private forest owners.

Moreover, up to 6,000 owners of small forests are organised in local forest owner associations which help owners with advice and management of their forests and are also involved in forest policy. Similarly, many private forest owners also work with HedeDanmark and other forestry consultancies.

Two certification options exist in forest management: PEFC and FSC. The areas owned by the Danish states have been certified according to both standards. In private and municipal forests, some 56,000 ha have been certified according to PE and 20,161 ha according to FSC.

Total income in the production of forest products in Denmark is approx. DKK 1 billion. The sale of energy wood amounted to DKK 300 million in 2015.

General description of Danish windbreaks

Planted windbreaks are a tradition in Denmark. The systematic planting of windbreaks started in the 1930s. The first major windbreak planting guilds were set up in 1967 and windbreaks with mainly 3 and 6 rows of broadleaves were introduced. Since then, various subsidies have existed to establish windbreaks and most have been established with subsidies. Today, Denmark is estimated to have some 80,000 km of windbreaks.

Windbreaks planted with subsidies must be maintained and cannot be removed.

Description of the supply base

RL Skovservice's supply base is Danish forests, windbreaks, scenic areas and urban plantations, the supply base covers all of Denmark, mainly in Mid-Jutland (region Midtjylland).



Figure 1 Supply Base

RL Skovservice is a forest contractor that produces and sells wood chip. Wood chip production is approx. 25,000-35,000 tonnes a year; 80% of the wood chip is produced in areas outside forests, mainly windbreaks and small plantations and in connection with nature projects. The base also includes clearing of trees and shrubs in connection with developments and expansion of infrastructure in Denmark.

In the forests, the base is thinning in conifers and roundwood from conifer deforestation while the rest is branches and tops from both broadleaves and conifers.

Description of jobs

Thinnings:

In windbreaks, the base mainly consists of the removal of nurse trees and pollarding of shrubs but in order to keep the sheltering effect of the windbreak. The work is carried out using feller bunchers and feller forwarders. In the forest, thinnings are carried out by feller bunching in connection with the running of tracks and thinning of younger standing crop. The subsequent chipping is carried out using an off-road chipper or a truck chipper.

Tree tops:

Chipping of tops and branches from conifers and broadleaves in connection with the deforestation of middle-aged or old broadleaves and conifers. Tops are often interconnected in stacks and chipped by the roadside.

Round timber:

Produced as a by-product from the felling of conifers where timber is also produced. The chip utilised timber of a low quality which cannot be used for products of high quality, such as timber. Felled using a harvester, forwarded to a solid road, chipped by the roadside or transported to a storage yard where the chipping is carried out.

Clearings:

Carried out by manual felling and subsequent forwarding or using a feller forwarder. Wood is often interconnected in stacks and chipped by the roadside. Clearing of tree regeneration in connection with Nature projects carried out in dialogue or in direct collaboration with the specific authorities.

Table 1 Distribution raw material input in %

	Conifers	Broadleaves	Mixed
Controlled feedstock			
SBP-Compliant primary	60	30	10
SBP-Compliant Secondary			
SBP-Compliant Tertiary			
SBP non-compliant			

Sources:

Nord-Larsen, Thomas et al, *Skove og Plantager 2014*, Skov og Landskab, 2014

PEFC Denmark, <http://www.pefc.dk/bliv-certificeret/skovcertificering>

FSC Denmark, <https://dk.fsc.org/dk-dk/hvad-er-fsc/fsc-i-danske-tal>

Legal information: <https://www.retsinformation.dk/eli/ft/198812K00030>

Hedges to the benefit of animals and plants: <https://jaegernesmagasin.dk/wp-content/uploads/Levende-hegn-til-gavn-for-dyr-og-planter.pdf>

Red list species: <http://bios.au.dk/videnudveksling/til-myndigheder-og-saerligt-interesserede/redlistframe/artsgrupper/>

2.2 Actions taken to promote certification amongst feedstock supplier

No measures have been launched to further certification at the forests where raw materials are felled.

2.3 Final harvest sampling programme

RL Skovservice also focuses on ensuring a financially sound result for our customers working in the forest. That's why, high value products primarily and only biomass will be produced when felling standings of more than 40 years. The price difference on energy wood for biomass and wood for timber, logs or packing wood means that it is not financially sound to produce energy wood if a higher value product may be produced. When wood from clear fellings of more than 40 years ends up in biomass, part of the wood does not meet the quality requirements for e.g. timber. The reasons may be rot, damage, warping, splits, windfall, etc. The

data in Table 2 comes from 5 randomly selected felling, about 60% of the wood is sold as lumber and wood packaging, the rest becomes to 3 m energy wood. In the projects are top and branches also used for biomass.

Table 2 Final harvest sampling. Data from randomly selected felling projects in 2016. Quantity of round timber from felling of stands of more than 40 years is approx. 13%

Summary			
Period		1.1.2016-31.12.2016	
Effect		Quantity	%
SHORT TIMBER		1063.15	46.33
PACKAGING		334.99	14.60
ENERGY		302.19	13.17
TREE TOPS		594.62	25.91

2.4 Flow diagram of feedstock inputs showing feedstock type [optional]

2.5 Quantification of the Supply Base

Supply base

- a. Supply base area (ha): 620.500 ha of forest
- b. Tenure by type (ha): 430.509 ha privately owned, 27.696 owned by foundations, 150.298 ha public owned, 11.997 ha unknown.
- c. Forest by type (ha): Temperate
- d. Forest by management type (ha): 483.844 ha is plantation or planted forest, 100.584 ha natural forest, including protective forest and historical management types, 36.072 ha with other management types or unknown.
- e. Certified forest by scheme (ha): 265.047 ha PEFC forest and 213.976 ha FSC-certified forest. Note that many forests hold both FSC and PEFC certificates.

Feedstock

- f. Totally produced quantity: 25.000-35.000 T
- g. Volume of primary feedstock: 25.000-35.000 T
- h. SBP approved certification plan: 0 T
- i. Wood species included:

Table 3 List of wood species

Danish	English	Latin
Ahorn	Sycamore	Acer pseudoplatanus
Ask	Ash	Fraxinus excelsior
Dunbirk	White birch	Betula pubescens
Vortebirk	Silver birch	Betula pendula
Bjergfyr	Mountain pine	Pinus mugo

Bævreasp	Aspen	Populus tremula
Bøg	Beech	Fagus sylvatica.
Contortafyr	Lodgepole pine	Pinus contorta
Cypres	Lawson cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana
Douglas	Douglas fir	Pseudotsuga menziesii
Stilkeg	Common Oak	Quercus robur
Vintereg	Sessile Oak	Quercus petraea
Elm	Mountain elm	Ulmus glabra
Grandis	Grand fir	Abies grandis
Hestekastanie	Horse chestnut	Aesculus hippocastanum
Hvidgran	White spruce	Picea glauca
Lind	Common lime	Tilia cordata
Lærk	European larch	Larix decidua
Lærk	Japanese larch	Larix leptolepis
Hybridlærk	Dunkeld Larch	Larix eurolepis
Nobilis	Noble fir	Abies procera
Nordmannsgran	Nordmann fir	Abies normanniana
Omorika	Serbian spruce	Picea omorica
Poppel	Poplar	Populus sp.
Rødeg	Northern red oak	Quercus rubra
Rødel	Common alder	Alnus glutinosa
Rødgran	Norway spruce	Picea abies
Sitkagran	Sitka spruce	Picea sitchensis
Skovfyr	Scots pine	Pinus sylvestris
Spidsløn	Maple	Acer platanoides
Thuja	Western red cedar	Thuja plicata
Tsuga	Hemlock	Tsuga heterophyll/a
Ædelgran	Silver fir	Abies alba
Østrigsk fyr	Austrian pine	Pinus nigra

- j. Quantities from primary forests: 0 T
- k. Specify percentage share from primary forest: N/A
- l. Volume of secondary feedstock: 0%
- m. Volume of tertiary feedstock: 0%

3 Requirement for a Supply Base Evaluation

SBE completed	SBE not completed
X	<input type="checkbox"/>

RL Skovservice harvests most of the feedstock in non-certified forests which means that the supply base must be evaluated.

4 Supply Base Evaluation

4.1 Scope

The scope of the evaluation covered the entire supply base of RL Skovservice which is considered all existing and potential sources of primary feedstock and their origin. The purpose of SBE is to distinguish the risk level in relation to the indicators described in SBP Standard 1.

The feedstock is divided into the following areas:

1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests
2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan
3. Primary feedstock from thinnings of conifer stands
4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first generation forest estates
5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification
6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects
7. Primary feedstock from clearcut in even-aged coniferous or non-native species

Most of the biomass is processed by in-house trained staff. A minor part of the feedstock is produced by loosely affiliated partners RL Skovservice handles traceability, risk assessments and risk management.

4.2 Justification

This evaluation is based on the Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark published 29th June 2017 which is available from NEPCON. The National Risk Assessment was completed in accordance with SBP Standard no. 1 and the evaluation was completed in accordance with SBP standard no. 2.

All items in Annex 1 have been answered and the risks have been assessed in connection with the preparation of the National Risk Assessment. Information has been gathered from applicable Danish legislation, instructions and interviews with the relevant persons.

Based on the recommendations in the National Risk Assessment for measures to reduce the risk and analyse the company's procedures, useful measures to reduce the risk have been found to ensure a low risk for all indicators in connection with the production of primary feedstock.

RL Skovservice is aware of the fact that changes in the National Risk Assessment may occur and is willing to adapt the SBE if this should happen.

4.3 Results of Risk Assessment

The Risk Assessment concludes that the risk is low in relation to all criteria except from the following criteria where a 'specified risk' has been identified and proposals have been prepared for possible measures to reduce the risk: Criteria 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3 and 2.2.4. Proposals for measures to reduce the risk appear from Annex 1.

Table 4 Individual indicators with a 'specified risk' in the National Risk Assessment

2.1.1	Forests and other areas with high conservation values in the Supply Base are identified and mapped.
2.1.2	Potential threats to forests and other areas with high conservation values from forest management activities are identified and addressed.
2.2.3	Key ecosystems and habitats are conserved or set aside in their natural state (CPET S8b).
2.2.4	Biodiversity is protected (CPET S5b).

Based on the National Risk Assessment, RL Skovservice concluded that the supply base can be divided into the following sub-scopes:

1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests
2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan
3. Primary feedstock from thinnings of conifer stands
4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first generation forest estates
5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification
6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects
7. Primary feedstock from clearcut in even-aged coniferous or non-native species

4.4 Results of Supplier Verification Programme

As described in section 8, RL Skovservice has no need for a supplier verification programme. RL Skovservice will purchase biomass from other supplier Only in special cases and if so, RL Skovservice will handle risk assessment and minimise the risk, if any.

4.5 Conclusion

When reviewing and revising the procedures of RL Skovservice based on the National Risk Assessment, it is estimated that the company ensures that the biomass complies with the SBP certification. Rene Løvborg, who handles job planning, identification of key biotopes and project mapping, has a wide experience in working in the forest and making considerations for nature worth conserving. The company is aware of the fact that if jobs have to be carried out in areas with a specific risk, it may be necessary to have other qualified persons, such as biologists or foresters, help with the identification of key biotopes. During the startup phase, it is important to integrate regulations and adaptations when the company has become more familiar with the new standards and procedures.

5 Supply Base Evaluation Process

The National Risk Assessment has been completed by NEPCon at the initiative of Dansk Energi, Dansk Fjernvarme, Skovdyrkerforeningen, Danish Forest Association, DM&E and HedeDanmark.

As it appears from the National Risk Assessment for Denmark, a low risk has been identified for all indicators, apart from the following indicators where a 'specified risk" has been identified: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4

In order to minimise the risk of processing biomass, RL Skovservice has prepared a set of procedures which complies with the due diligence requirements of the standards. The procedures are available in the *Entreprenørhåndbogen* (Contractor's Manual).

RL Skovservice has used both internal and external resources for the work with SBE. SBE has been prepared with SBE's staff who has a wide experience in biomass production. In addition to biomass, RL Skovservice also produces Christmas trees. The production of Christmas trees is certified through the Global GAP plan which means safety, working environment and traceability in the production of Christmas trees has been considered and procedures introduced.

Machine operators at RL Skovservice have a high level of skills with many years' work with production of feedstock in Danish state forests.

RL Skovservice has used an external consultant from DM&E who has approx. 10 years' experience in forest certification and forest management for the work of adapted work processes and gathering additional data.

6 Stakeholder Consultation

The consultation phase ran for a period of 30 days from 2016 to 2016. The Danish version of SBR was sent by email to the following stakeholders:

Danmarks Naturfredningsforening (Danish Society for Nature Conservation)	Nora Skjernaa Hansen	nsh@dn.dk
FSC Danmark	Sofie Tind Nielsen	sofie@fsc.dk
Verdens Skove	Jakob Ryding	jr@verdensskove.org
WWF (World Wildlife Foundation)	Bo Normander	b.normander@wwf.dk
Copenhagen University	Vivian Kvist Johansen	vkj@ign.ku.dk
PEFC Danmark	Morten Thorøe	mt@pefc.dk
Dansk Energi	Kristine van het Erve Grunnet	keg@danskeenergi.dk
Dansk Fjernvarme	Kate Wieck-Hansen	kwh@danskfjernvarme.dk
Dansk Skovforening (Danish Forest Association)	Marie-Louise Bretner	mlb@skovforeningen.dk
Energistyrelsen (Danish Energy Agency)	Lars Martin Jensen	lmj@ens.dk
Dong Energy	Peter K Kristensen	pekkr@dongenergy.dk
Friluftsrådet (National Federation of Outdoor Recreation)	Thorbjørn Eriksen	toe@friluftsradet.dk
BAT Kartellet	Gunde Odgaard	gunde.odgaard@batkartellet.dk
SVANA	Niels Bølling	niboe@nst.dk
NOVOPAN A/S	Jette Wulff	j.wulff@kronospan-dk.dk
Troldtekt A/S	Orla Jepsen	oje@troldtekt.dk
Rold Skov Savværk A/S	Henrik Thorlacius-Ussing	htu@lindenborg.dk

6.1 Response to stakeholder comments

A comment has been received from Nora Skjernaa Hansen of the Danish Society for Nature Conservation. Question and reply are available in Annex 2.

7 Overview of Initial Assessment of Risk

RL Skovservice uses the Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark, prepared by NEPCON and Published 29 June 2017, as a starting point. This risk assessment has been prepared in accordance with the SBP Regional Risk Assessment Procedure Version 1.0, and it is a thorough examination of the relevant risks in a Danish context. See also Annex 1 to this Supply Base Rapport.

As it appears from the Regional Risk Assessment for Denmark, a low risk has been identified for all indicators, apart from the following indicators where a 'specified risk' has been identified: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4

To minimise the specified risks and move a 'Specified risk' to a 'Low Risk', RL Skovservice is working according to its management system, described in the Contractors' Manual and reviewed in Section 9.1. The management system describes among other things how RL Skovservice minimises the risks in the area where there is a risk that the biomass is not sustainable.

Based on the National Risk Assessment, the Supply Base of RL Skovservice is divided into 6 sub-scopes, described in section 2.1.1 in the National Risk Assessment for Denmark:

1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests
2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan
3. Primary feedstock from thinnings of conifer stands
4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first generation forest estates
5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification
6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas
7. Primary feedstock from final fellings in even-aged coniferous stands of non-native species

Table 1. Overview of results from the risk assessment of all Indicators (prior to SVP)

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
1.1.1			
1.1.2			
1.1.3			
1.2.1			
1.3.1			
1.4.1			
1.5.1			
1.6.1			
2.1.1			
2.1.2			
2.1.3			
2.2.1			
2.2.2			
2.2.3			
2.2.4			
2.2.5			
2.2.6			
2.2.7			
2.2.8			
2.2.9			

Indicator	Initial Risk Rating		
	Specified	Low	Unspecified
2.3.1			
2.3.2			
2.3.3			
2.4.1			
2.4.2			
2.4.3			
2.5.1			
2.5.2			
2.6.1			
2.7.1			
2.7.2			
2.7.3			
2.7.4			
2.7.5			
2.8.1			
2.9.1			
2.9.2			
2.10.1			

8 Supplier Verification Programme

8.1 Description of the Supplier Verification Programme

RL Skovservice handles the entire process for most of the wood chip sold by RL Skovservice. This means customer contact, job planning, job execution as well as the transport and sale of wood chip. Using the management system from the Contractors' Manual, RL Skovservice documents origin, risk assessment and risk reduction, if any.

A minor part of the wood chip is purchased from other forest contractors. This is not a group of supplier from whom wood chip is bought on an ongoing basis. The quantities are often small, and it may be years between various suppliers selling wood chip to RL Skovservice. That's why it makes no sense to prepare a supplier verification programme for RL Skovservice.

The procedure for the purchase of external wood chip will be that RL Skovservice handles the purchase of feedstock from subcontractors as if it was its own project. RL Skovservice handles mapping, risk assessment, area review and minimises risks.

If parts of the feedstock are assessed in this process to be non-SBP compliant, it will not be sold with an SBP Claim

8.2 Site visits

Not applicable.

8.3 Conclusions from the Supplier Verification Programme

Not applicable.

9 Mitigation Measures

9.1 Mitigation measures

Introductory remarks

RL Skovservice is working according to the procedures of the Contractors' Manual¹ which is laid out to consider the indicators described in the National Risk Assessment. The Contractors' Manual describes how to identify a specific risk and which measures to reduce the risk should be taken before the feedstock can be called SBP compliant. If RL Skovservice is not able to reduce the risk for parts of the biomass, it will not form part of the SBP quantity.

Projects in RL Skovservice are planned, assigned and controlled by Rene Løvborg.

Risk assessment

In all new jobs, the areas on which biomass is harvested will be screened according to the following indicators: 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2.3, 2.2.4 where a specified risk has been established. The risk assessment is based on available map material and databases as well as a review of the area before startup. A map and checklist is prepared for each job to ensure that the machine operator is aware of protected or preserved nature/culture. RL Skovservice has implemented the measures to reduced risk from the National Risk Assessment, except from the proposal to share maps with experts or relevant stakeholders.

The risk assessment is divided into seven categories:

1. Primary feedstock from FSC or PEFC certified forests - **always low risk**
2. Primary feedstock from forests with a green management plan - **specified risk**
3. Primary feedstock from thinnings of conifer stands - **always low risk**
4. Primary feedstock from thinnings of first generation forest estates - **always low risk**
5. Primary feedstock from forests without a green management plan or certification - **specified risk**
6. Primary feedstock from non-forest areas, such as windbreaks, city and park areas, nature projects - **always low risk**
7. Primary feedstock form final fellings in even-aged coniferous stands of non-native species - **always low risk**

The risk assessment is carried out by Rene Løvborg. If external assessment is deemed necessary, a forrester/biologist with local knowledge will be used. Rene Løvborg is familiar with identifying key biotopes according to the key biotope type catalogue.

Risk handling

Staff carrying out screenings and planning the jobs are familiar with applicable nature and environment legislation. RL Skovservice plans supply activities to minimise the negative effect on ecosystems, biodiversity and areas worth preserving.

¹ Document detailing the company's procedure.

Areas where wood chip is harvested must be examined before startup by a physical review and must be mapped according to the procedure below. All procedures are explained in the Contractors' Manual.

A map will be prepared for each wood chip project. The map shows identified areas with a high conservation value (HCV). If a map has been prepared in connection with the certification of a green management plan, this maps must be used in the planning process in order to ensure HCV areas.

- If the work area is located in a forest, it will be screened according to the checklist in the Contractors' Manual.
- If the job consists of thinning in an afforestation or thinning in a uniform conifer stand, screening may be omitted. Legality must be ensured.
- If the work area is located outside a forest, screening may be omitted. Legality must be ensured.
- Each wood chip project is given a unique case number and address which also appear on the job description, weighing forms and basis of settlement. Ensure traceability.
- Each wood chip project has a Checklist with relevant information. Ensure excellent communication between the various parties in the work process and note down all relevant data which the machine operator needs.

The map and checklist are delivered to the machine operator who is trained in the company's work procedure and the meaning of the elements on the map.

To be able to identify HCV areas during work, all machine operators working with wood chip production in the forest have been trained in "Maskinfærdsel på Naturnære arealer" (Machine traffic in nature areas).

All machine operators are working according to RL Skovservice's standard operating procedure (SOP), ensuring uniform workflows during felling, forwarding and chipping.

9.2 Monitoring and outcomes

Increased focus will apply during the first 12 months of jobs with the highest risk of felling activities harming HCV areas. In old forest areas, they will consist mainly of broadleaves. The effect of this measure will be assessed at the next internal audit.

10 Detailed Findings for Indicators

Detailed results for indicators in the risk assessment are presented in Annex 1

11 Review of Report

To ensure report credibility, this report has been subject to Peer Review.

11.1 Peer review

The report has been commented by Senior Advisor Kjell Suadicani from the Section for Forest, Nature and Biomass at the Department of Geosciences and Natural Resource Management.

His comments have been included in the final Supply Base Report.

11.2 Public or additional reviews

If another type of external review was done prior to finalisation of this report (e.g. publication for comments by stakeholders, NGOs, or other independent third parties), describe the process here.

12 Approval of Report

Approval of Supply Base Report by senior management			
Report Prepared by:	<i>René Løvborg</i>	<i>Company Owner</i>	<i>15.02.2017</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report Prepared by:	<i>Claus Clemmesen</i>	<i>Forest consultant, B.sc in forestry</i>	<i>15.02.2017</i>
	Name	Title	Date
<p>The undersigned persons confirm that I/we are members of the organisation's senior management and do hereby affirm that the contents of this evaluation report were duly acknowledged by senior management as being accurate prior to approval and finalisation of the report.</p>			
Report approved by:	<i>[name]</i>	<i>[title]</i>	<i>[date]</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	<i>[name]</i>	<i>[title]</i>	<i>[date]</i>
	Name	Title	Date
Report approved by:	<i>[name]</i>	<i>[title]</i>	<i>[date]</i>
	Name	Title	Date

13 Updates 2019

Once a year prior to the external audit, RL Skovservice will carry out self-regulatory control according to the procedure described in the Contractors' Manual. The self-regulatory control will assess:

1. Changes in the supply base. Whether changes have occurred which call for changes to elements of the Supply Base Report.
2. It must be assessed whether the measures taken to reduce the risks are adequate. Every 10th high-risk job will be reassessed.

13.1 Significant changes in the Supply Base

No changes, the supply base is the same as describe in the first SBR

13.2 Effectiveness of previous mitigation measures

The majority of our tasks are in low risk areas and we are convinced that our systems to reduce risk are working well.

If we are working in areas with specified risk, fx §3 areas, the local municipality has given a dispensation and often it is the local municipality that has planned the task and made the work instructions.

In this report period we have only had few tasks in forest areas with specified risk. Therefore, we have decided to that the few tasks with specified risk has been handled and sold as non SBP-compliant material. This also means that we haven't reassessed every 10th task with specified risk, because there have been no tasks to reassess. During this reporting period, we have only had few tasks in forest areas with specified risk. Therefore, we have decided that the few tasks with specified risk have been handled and sold as non-SBP-compatible material. This also means that we have not assessed every 10th task with specified risk, because there have been no tasks to reassess.

Increased knowledge of SBP certification system at RL Skovservice, participation in theme day on sustainable forest management, and that the company's normal tasks are of limited complexity. - home-grown coniferous species.

Increased knowledge of SBP certification system at RL Skovservice participation in theme day on sustainable forest management, and that the company's normal tasks are of limited complexity, it is the management's assessment that Rene Løvborg himself can screen all tasks in 1st generation afforestation and uniform coniferous stands, dominated by non- native coniferous species.

Through internal audit 2017, we have become aware that we should expand our control of tasks. Procedure for controlling risk minimizing measures can be found in the contractor manual chapter 7.2. In 2018, 34 projects were controlled. No discrepancies have been found, therefore we conclude that our risk mitigating measures are sufficient.

13.3 New risk ratings and mitigation measures

RL Skovservice has assessed that primary raw material from final fellings in uniform coniferous stands of non-native species can be considered as low-risk areas. In the case of screenings and field reviews of this type of area, the last two years, it has been shown that biomass production does not harm conservation-worthy nature or biodiversity. RL Skovservice has in cooperation with the CB, conducted a small, stakeholder consultation with DN (The Danish society for Nature Conservation) and WWF Denmark. Both NGOs have supported the new risk assessment.

13.4 Actual figures for feedstock over the previous 12 months

27.721,06 T

13.5 Projected figures for feedstock over the next 12 months

13 Updates 2020

Once a year prior to the external audit, RL Skovservice will carry out self-regulatory control according to the procedure described in the Contractors' Manual. The self-regulatory control will assess:

1. Changes in the supply base. Whether changes have occurred which call for changes to elements of the Supply Base Report.
2. It must be assessed whether the measures taken to reduce the risks are adequate. Every 10th high-risk job will be reassessed.

13.1 Significant changes in the Supply Base

No changes, the supply base is the same as describe in the first SBR

13.2 Effectiveness of previous mitigation measures

The majority of our tasks are in low risk areas and we are convinced that our systems to reduce risk are working well.

If we are working in areas with specified risk, fx §3 areas, the local municipality has given a dispensation and often it is the local municipality that has planned the task and made the work instructions.

In this report period we have only had few tasks in forest areas with specified risk. Therefore, we have decided to that the few tasks with specified risk has been handled and sold as non SBP-compliant material. This also means that we haven't reassessed every 10th task with specified risk, because there have been no tasks to reassess. During this reporting period, we have only had few tasks in forest areas with specified risk. Therefore, we have decided that the few tasks with specified risk have been handled and sold as non-SBP-compatible material. This also means that we have not assessed every 10th task with specified risk, because there have been no tasks to reassess.

Increased knowledge of SBP certification system at RL Skovservice, participation in theme day on sustainable forest management, and that the company's normal tasks are of limited complexity. - home-grown coniferous species.

Increased knowledge of SBP certification system at RL Skovservice participation in theme day on sustainable forest management, and that the company's normal tasks are of limited complexity, it is the management's assessment that Rene Løvborg himself can screen all tasks in 1st generation afforestation and uniform coniferous stands, dominated by non- native coniferous species.

Through internal audit 2017, we have become aware that we should expand our control of tasks. Procedure for controlling risk minimizing measures can be found in the contractor manual chapter 7.2. In 2018, 34 projects were controlled. No discrepancies have been found, therefore we conclude that our risk mitigating measures are sufficient.

13.3 New risk ratings and mitigation measures

RL Skovservice has assessed that primary raw material from final fellings in uniform coniferous stands of non-native species can be considered as low-risk areas. In the case of screenings and field reviews of this type of area, the last two years, it has been shown that biomass production does not harm conservation-worthy nature or biodiversity. RL Skovservice has in cooperation with the CB, conducted a small, stakeholder consultation with DN (The Danish society for Nature Conservation) and WWF Denmark. Both NGOs have supported the new risk assessment.

13.4 Actual figures for feedstock over the previous 12 months

36928,86 T

13.5 Projected figures for feedstock over the next 12 months

25-35.000 T